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Abstract
This study aims to determine the effect of Non-Performing Loans and Capital Adequacy Ratio on Return on Assets at PT. Bank Victoria International, Tbk. 2009-2018 period. The method used is explanatory research. The analysis technique uses statistical analysis with regression testing, correlation, determination, and hypothesis testing. The results of this study that Non Performing Loans have a significant effect on Return on Assets by 60.4%, hypothesis testing obtained t count > t table or (3.496 > 2.306). Capital Adequacy Ratio has a significant effect on Return on Assets of 2.8%, hypothesis testing obtained t count < t table or (-0.477 < -2.306). Non-Performing Loan and Capital Adequacy Ratio simultaneously have a significant effect on Return on Assets, the regression equation is \( Y = 8.666 + 0.569X_1 + 0.049X_2 \) and a determination value of 60.8%, hypothesis testing is obtained by the value of F count > F table or (5,431 > 4,350).
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I. Introduction

PT Bank Victoria International Tbk (Bank Victoria) as a private commercial bank has operational activities by developing its main mission of consistently providing the best quality service to customers while still observing the principle of prudence. In line with efforts to continuously improve its risk and financial management, Bank Victoria continues to move aggressively in developing professional human resources, has high loyalty to the company, develops information technology and office networks, and is principled and dedicated by supporting the development of personal capabilities supported by the application of the principles of GCG (Good Corporate Governance).

In 1999, Bank Victoria listed its shares on the Jakarta & Surabaya Stock Exchanges. Since then, Bank Victoria has been active in carrying out various corporate actions, such as a limited public offering and issuing bonds. As of June 2016, the Bank has 103 operational office networks consisting of 1 head office, 7 branch offices, 63 sub-branch offices, and 32 cash offices spread across the Jakarta, Depok, Tangerang, and Bekasi areas.

Supported by reliable human resources, Bank Victoria continues to expand its business through a variety of integrated financial services. PT Bank Victoria International Tbk. (Bank Victoria) has the vision to become the bank of choice for customers who are trusted, healthy, and efficient. The translation of this vision: Customer Choice is that Bank Victoria is known, trusted, and is the choice of customers to meet customer needs for banking products and services. Trustworthy is that Bank Victoria is committed to being a bank that can provide a sense of security and certainty for its customers, employees,
shareholders, and other stakeholders. Sound and Efficient means that Bank Victoria has a strong capital structure, sound financial conditions, and is supported by efficient banking operations.

Having a Customer Mission is to continually strive to meet customer needs, foster good relationships with customers, and provide the best service to customers. People in developing professional, principled, and dedicated human resources to provide services and meet customer needs. Operation is carrying out banking operations by applying the prudential principle efficiently and sustainably. Risk Management is conducting risk and financial management prudently and consistently and always applying the principles of Good Corporate Governance.

A bank is an intermediary institution for parties with excess funds and parties who lack funds. Where a bank has several functions, one of which is an agent of trust. Agent of trust means that in its business activities a bank relies on public trust. The community believes that their money will not be misused by the bank, the money will be managed properly and the bank will not go bankrupt (Sigit Triandaru and Totok Budisantoso, 2008: 9).

To maintain public trust, banks must maintain their financial performance. Bank financial performance can be assessed from several indicators. One of the main indicators used as the basis for the assessment is the financial statements of the bank concerned. Based on the financial statements, some financial ratios can be calculated that are commonly used as the basis for assessing the soundness of a bank. Financial ratios are the results of calculations between two kinds of bank financial data, which are used to explain the relationship between the two financial data which is generally expressed numerically, either in percentage or times. (Slamet Riyadi, 2006: 155).

Profitability in the banking world can be calculated by using Return on Assets (ROA). In this case, Return On Asset (ROA) is the ratio between profit before tax to total assets. ROA is important for banks because ROA is used to measure the effectiveness of a company in generating profits by utilizing its assets. According to Bank Indonesia regulations, the best standard for Return On Assets in terms of Indonesian banks is at least 1.5%. The greater the ROA of a bank, the greater the level of profit achieved by the bank and the better the position of the bank in terms of asset use. (Lukman Dendawijaya, 2010: 120).

The fluctuating ROA value is influenced by several other factors. Where these factors can also be used in assessing the performance and profit obtained by a bank, such as CAR (representing capital), NPL (representing credit risk). CAR (Capital Adequacy Ratio) is the ratio of the minimum capital adequacy ratio (KPMM). NPL is a financial ratio that is used as a proxy for the rate of return on credit given by depositors to a bank, in other words, NPL is the level of bad credit at the bank. This ratio shows that the ability of bank management to manage non-performing loans is provided by the bank. The smaller the Non-Performing Loan (NPL), the smaller the credit risk borne by the bank. The number of non-performing loans (NPL) has increased which is a burden on the income of PT. Bank Victoria International Tbk. Meanwhile, the Capital (CAR) of PT. Bank Victoria International Tbk in recent years has experienced fluctuations so that it has not been optimal in generating profitability (ROA). And the ROA value at PT. Bank Victoria International Tbk has also decreased every year, the decreased ROA value occurs due to the influence of increased bad credit, as well as fluctuating capital.

Based on the existing background, the researcher felt the need to research with the title "The Effect of Non-Performing Loans (NPL) and Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR) on Return On Assets (ROA) at PT. Bank Victoria International Tbk Period 2009-2018"
II. Review of Literatures

2.1 Non Performing Loan
Some actions that can be taken in credit supervision are by conducting credit restructuring, rescheduling, considering new loans, and liquidating collateral.

2.2 Capital Adequacy Ratio
The ratio that shows the extent to which all bank assets that contain credit risk, investments, securities, claims on other banks) are also financed from the bank's capital funds in addition to obtaining funds from sources outside the bank, such as funds from the public, loans, and others.

2.3 Return on Asset
Return On Asset (ROA) shows the company's ability to use all its assets to generate profit after tax. According to Hery in Angelia and Toni (2020) profitability is the ratio used to measure a company's ability to generate profits with the company's resources. Companies that have stability in obtaining profits can give signals to the public about the ability to pay dividends.

III. Research Methods

The population in this study is based on financial reports for 10 years of PT. Bank Victoria International, Tbk. The sampling technique in this research is a saturated sample, where all members of the population are sampled. Thus the sample in this study was financial statements for 10 years. The type of research used is associative, where the aim is to find out how to find the relationship between the independent variables and the dependent variable. In analyzing the data, the classical assumption test, regression, correlation coefficient, determination coefficient, and hypothesis test were used, either partially or simultaneously.

IV. Results and Discussion

4.1 Descriptive Analysis
This test is used to determine the minimum and maximum percentage, average percentage, and standard deviation of each variable. The results are as follows:

**Table 1. Descriptive Statistics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non Performing Loan (X1)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.252</td>
<td>.9093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Adequacy Ratio (X2)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>17.816</td>
<td>.8307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on Asset (Y)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.730</td>
<td>.6504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid N (listwise)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-performing loans obtained a minimum value of 1.8% and a maximum value of 4.6% with an average of 3.25% with a standard deviation of 0.90%.

The Capital Adequacy Ratio obtained a minimum value of 16.8% and a maximum value of 18.8% with an average value of 17.8% with a standard deviation of 0.83%.

Return on Asset obtained a minimum value of 1.9% and a maximum value of 3.8% with an average of 2.73% with a standard deviation of 0.65%.
4.2 Verification Analysis

This analysis aims to determine the effect of the independent variable on the dependent variable. The test results are as follows:

a. Multiple Linear Regression Analysis

This regression test is intended to determine changes in the dependent variable if the independent variable changes. The test results are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Coefficientsa</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td>Unstandardized</td>
<td>Standardized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coefficients</td>
<td>Coefficients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>t</td>
<td>Sig.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.666</td>
<td>3.657</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Performing Loan (X1)</td>
<td>.569</td>
<td>.177</td>
<td>.796</td>
<td>3.220 .015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Adequacy Ratio (X2)</td>
<td>.049</td>
<td>.193</td>
<td>.063</td>
<td>.255 .806</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the test results in the table above, the regression equation $Y = 8.666 + 0.569X1 + 0.049X2$ is obtained. From this equation it is explained as follows:
1) A constant of 8.666 means that if the Non-Performing Loan and the Capital Adequacy Ratio do not exist, then there is a Return on Assets value of 8.666 points.
2) The non-performing loan regression coefficient is 0.569, this number is positive, meaning that every time there is an increase in Non-Performing Loans of 0.569, the Return on Assets will also increase by 0.569 points.
3) The regression coefficient of the Capital Adequacy Ratio is 0.049, this number is positive, meaning that every time there is an increase in the Capital Adequacy Ratio of 0.049, the Return on Assets will also increase by 0.049 points.

b. Correlation Coefficient Analysis

Correlation coefficient analysis is intended to determine the level of strength of the relationship between the independent variable and the dependent variable either partially or simultaneously. The test results are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non Performing Loan (X1)</th>
<th>Return on Asset (Y)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.777**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the test results obtained a correlation value of 0.777 means that Non-Performing Loans have a strong relationship to Return on Assets.
Table 4. Test Results Correlation Coefficient Capital Adequacy Ratio against Return on Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Capital Adequacy Ratio (X2)</th>
<th>Return on Assets (Y)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital Adequacy Ratio (X2)</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td></td>
<td>.646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on Asset (Y)</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>-.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td></td>
<td>.646</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the test results obtained a correlation value of -0.166 means that the Capital Adequacy Ratio has a low negative relationship to Return on Assets.

Table 5. Testing Results of Non-Performing Loan Correlation Coefficient and Capital Adequacy Ratio simultaneously to Return on Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.780a</td>
<td>.608</td>
<td>.496</td>
<td>.4617</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Predictors: (Constant), Capital Adequacy Ratio (X2), Non Performing Loan (X1)

Based on the test results obtained a correlation value of 0.780 means that the Non Performing Loan and the Capital Adequacy Ratio simultaneously have a strong relationship to Return on Assets.

c. Analysis of the Coefficient of Determination

The analysis of the coefficient of determination is intended to determine the percentage of influence of the independent variable on the dependent variable, either partially or simultaneously. The test results are as follows:

Table 6. Results of Testing the Coefficient of Determination of Non-Performing Loans on Return on Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.777a</td>
<td>.604</td>
<td>.555</td>
<td>.4339</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Predictors: (Constant), Non Performing Loan (X1)

Based on the test results, it is found that the determination value is 0.604, which means that the Non-Performing Loan has an effect of 60.4% on Return on Assets.

Table 7. Test Results of Capital Adequacy Ratio Determination Coefficient against Return on Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R Square</th>
<th>Adjusted R Square</th>
<th>Std. Error of the Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.166a</td>
<td>.028</td>
<td>-.094</td>
<td>.6802</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Predictors: (Constant), Capital Adequacy Ratio (X2)
Based on the test results, the determination value is 0.028, which means that the Capital Adequacy Ratio has an influence contribution of 2.8% to Return on Assets.

**Table 8. The Results of Testing the Coefficient of Determination of Non Performing Loans and Capital Adequacy Ratio to Return on Assets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Predictors: (Constant), Capital Adequacy Ratio (X2), Non Performing Loan (X1)

Based on the test results, the determination value is 0.608, which means that the Non-Performing Loan and the Capital Adequacy Ratio simultaneously have an influence contribution of 60.8% on Return on Assets, while the remaining 39.2% is influenced by other factors.

d. Hypothesis Testing

1. Partial hypothesis test (t-test)

Hypothesis testing with the t-test is used to determine which partial hypothesis is accepted.

**Table 9. Hypothesis Test Results for Non Performing Loans on Return on Assets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Coefficientsa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unstandardized Coefficients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(Constant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non Performing Loan (X1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: Return on Asset (Y)

Based on the test results in the table above, the value of t count>t table or (3.496>2.306) is obtained, thus there is a significant influence between Non Performing Loans on Return on Assets.

**Table 10. Hypothesis Test Results of Capital Adequacy Ratio to Return on Assets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Coefficientsa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unstandardized Coefficients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(Constant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capital Adequacy Ratio (X2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: Return on Asset (Y)

Based on the test results in the table above, the t value<t table or (-0.477<2.306) is obtained, thus there is a negative but insignificant effect between the Capital Adequacy Ratio on Return on Assets.

2. Simultaneous Hypothesis Test (Test F)

Hypothesis testing with the F test is used to determine which simultaneous hypothesis is accepted.
Table 11. Hypothesis Test Results for Non-Performing Loans and Capital Adequacy Ratio to Return on Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>2.315</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.158</td>
<td>5.431</td>
<td>.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>1.492</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>.213</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3.807</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the test results in the table above, the calculated F value > F table or (5.431 > 4.350), thus there is a significant effect between Non Performing Loans and Capital Adequacy Ratio on Return on Assets.

4.3 The Effect of Non Performing Loans on Return on Assets
Non-Performing Loans have a significant effect on Return on Assets with a correlation of 0.777 or have a strong relationship with an influential contribution of 60.4%. Hypothesis testing obtained t value > t table or (3.496 > 2.306). Thus, there is a significant influence between Non Performing Loans on Return on Assets.

4.4 The Effect of Capital Adequacy Ratio on Return on Assets
Capital Adequacy Ratio has a significant effect on Return on Assets with a correlation of -0.166 or has a low negative relationship with an influential contribution of 2.8%. Hypothesis testing obtained t value < t table or (-0.477 < 2.306). Thus, there is a negative but insignificant influence between the Capital Adequacy Ratio and Return on Assets.

4.5 Effect of Non Performing Loans and Capital Adequacy Ratio on Return on Assets
Non-Performing Loans and Capital Adequacy Ratio have a significant effect on Return on Assets by obtaining the regression equation Y = 8.666 + 0.569X1 + 0.049X2, the correlation value is 0.780 or has a strong relationship with an influential contribution of 60.8% while the remaining 39.2 % influenced by other factors. Hypothesis testing obtained the value of F count > F table or (5.431 > 4.350). Thus, there is a significant influence between Non-Performing Loans and Capital Adequacy Ratio on Return on Assets

V. Conclusion

Non Performing Loans have a significant effect on Return on Assets with a contribution of 60.4% influence. Hypothesis test obtained t value > t table or (3.496 > 2.306).

Capital Adequacy Ratio has a significant effect on Return on Assets with an influence contribution of 2.8%. Hypothesis test obtained t value < t table or (-0.477 < 2.306).

Non Performing Loans and Capital Adequacy Ratio have a significant effect on Return on Assets with a contribution of 60.8% influence while the remaining 39.2% is influenced by other factors. Hypothesis testing obtained the value of F count > F table or (5.431 > 4,350).
Suggestions

Based on the results of the research and these conclusions, the researchers provide the following suggestions:

1. To monitor the growth rate or movement of Non-Performing Loans (NPLs) so as not to violate the provisions of Indonesian banks, it is better if PT. Bank Victoria International Tbk must be more careful in providing credit to prospective customers, first PT. Bank Victoria International Tbk must see the ability of prospective customers to repay their loans.

2. To maintain the level of bank capital (CAR), it is better if PT. Bank Victoria International Tbk pays more attention to the amount of capital owned by a company because capital is the most important factor that a bank must-have. To anticipate the development of the credit or loan expansion business scale.

3. For researchers who will conduct further research and use financial ratios, it is recommended to further analyze the relationship and influence between the ratios by adding the independent variables studied and adding to the period in the financial reporting year that the research will be carried out.
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