udapest International Research and Critics Institute-Journal (BIRCI-Journal)

iumanities and Social Sciences

ISSN 2615-3076 Online) ISSN 2615-1715 (Print)



Analysis of Alternative Strategies for Domestic Wastewater Systems Management and Development in Regency/City Governments (Case Study: South Tangerang City, Banten Province)

Nur Dewisri Fatihawati¹, Marisa Handajani²

^{1,2}Master Program in Water and Sanitation Infrastructure Management, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Indonesia nurdewi.sf@gmail.com, m_handajani@yahoo.com

Abstract

The impact of high urban population growth and increased development activities in various sectors has caused various problems in urban areas, one of which is waste water pollution. Through the "Wastewater System Management and Development Program", the planning activity program must be able to improve the management and development of the domestic wastewater system. In addition, the implementation of the planning activity program must be in accordance with the conditions, especially in South Tangerang City, including the Planning for the Development of Domestic Wastewater (Grev Water) & Stool (Black Water) Management, Sludge Treatment Plant (IPLT), Scheduled Sludge Service Management (LLTT). and Preparation of Regional Regulations on Domestic Wastewater Management and Service Charges. The stages of this research include data collection, analysis of instrument validity and reliability, IFAS and EFAS analysis, SWOT and QSPM analysis. Some of the main planning activity programs, based on literature studies and interviews with city government stakeholders in improving the management and development of domestic wastewater systems in South Tangerang City include Planning for the Development of Domestic Wastewater & Stool Management Systems, Planning for Development of Sludge Treatment Plants owned by the South Tangerang City Government.

Keywords

alternative strategies; domestic wastewater; system management



I. Introduction

Multi-dimensional national development in its management involves all government officials, both at the central and regional levels, even at the lowest regional level, namely the kelurahan. The components or apparatus in question should have optimal capabilities in carrying out their duties (Sumiarsih, Ni Made, Djoko Legono, and Robert J. Kodoatie, 2018). The achievement targets have been stated in several programs including Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) on the availability of sanitation access, especially domestic wastewater treatment (Chaerunnissa, Chika 2014). In the city development program, the stages of work starting from the planning, development to management stages with quality standards of access services are not only feasible but safe and sustainable, especially in accordance with the national 100-0-100 program, namely achieving 100 percent of drinking water services, achieving the percentage urban slum settlements to 0 percent and increasing

population access to proper sanitation (domestic wastewater, garbage and environmental drainage) to 100 percent at the level of basic needs, have also been prepared to help achieve the said RPJMN targets. These programs include programs that have been prepared for development to the regions through district and city governments (Putra, Rizki Rokhmat 2016). In addition, the regulation of the Minister of Public Works and Public Housing of the Republic of Indonesia number 29 of 2018 concerning PUPR SPM, one of which is related to Minimum Service Standards for Domestic Wastewater Sub Affairs, implemented by the Regional Government to ensure the availability of access to domestic wastewater treatment for the community according to the administrative area. The Government of the Republic of Indonesia was formed to protect the whole of the Indonesian people (Angelia, 2020).

So that with this research it can be seen that the effective solution for urban planning activity programs to deal with these problems is in accordance with the regulation of the Minister of Public Works and Public Housing of the Republic of Indonesia number 04 of 2017 concerning the Implementation of a Domestic Wastewater Management System, which is supported by pouring the planning activity program plan into a document. regional planning, especially in the Regional Medium-Term Development Plan (RPJMD) and the Master Plan for Domestic Wastewater Management System (RI-SPALD).

In addition, by identifying internal factors, namely the welfare and quality of human resources, facilities and infrastructure supporting program activities, availability of costs/capital/investment and service cash flows, legal policies and regulations, orientation and organizational structure, cooperation programs, while identification of external factors namely behavioral attitudes, encouragement of participation, knowledge and awareness of respondents, environmental impacts and conditions, as well as the potential for implementation and sustainability of the program, where these factors can affect the development of activity programs so that appropriate and effective policy decisions and strategies are obtained in the Tangerang City area. South, based on the level of community participation and stakeholder.

II. Research Method

The stages of this research include data collection, analysis of instrument validity and reliability, IFAS and EFAS analysis, SWOT and QSPM analysis.

1. Data Collection Data

Collection for questionnaires from informants and the community, the size of the respondents uses the Slovin, with a margin of error of 10%, so that the number of respondents taken in the study is 100 respondents for elements of society with the number of proportions according to the amount of The sub-district family heads and 10 respondents from local government elements are directly related to the duties and functions of their positions in providing direction and policies for urban domestic wastewater planning activities.

While the respondent selection technique uses quota sampling and the assessment of the questionnaire variables uses a Likert Scale to calculate the score of each question factor both internal and external elements, and uses the Paired Comparison for alternative scores of answers aimed at internal elements as sources in providing an assessment of the ranking of each factor in influencing program planning activities and also to provide an assessment score for alternative policies and strategies that will be planned later.

- 2. Before the questionnaire is distributed to elements of the community and resource persons, the questionnaire must be tested first in order to know the trust and reliability in each planning activity program and the question factor, namely the Validation test using the Pearson Bivariate and the Reliability test using the Cronbach's Alpha, in this study using a tool application of Statistical Product and Service Solution (SPSS) version 25.
- 3. IFAS and EFAS analysis

From the questionnaire data from the sources and the community, it is obtained the weight value for each internal and external factor, which is generated from the calculation of the total score compared to the number of respondents which is then multiplied by the amount of the score ranking for each factor variable, where this ranking score is obtained from data collection by questionnaires using the Paired Comparison that has been described previously.

The results of the identification analysis will be poured into the Internal Factor Evaluation (IFE) and External Factor Evaluation (EFE) matrices, which then obtain alternative strategies scientifically by mapping the analysis results into the Internal External Matrix (IE) in order to obtain the Strategic Business Unit (SBU) position/ institutions/organizations and also mapping the results of the analysis into the position of the SWOT Quadrant.

- 4. SWOT analysis (Strength, Weakness, Opportunities, Threats) can help identify factors within the company/institution/organization (S and W) and external factors (O and T). Where the total score of S W → is used as the coordinates of point X and the total score of O T → is used as the coordinates of point Y.
- 5. QSPM (Quantitative Strategic Planning Matrix) analysis is a technique that can objectively determine prioritized alternative strategies. As a technique, QSPM requires good intuitive judgment.

IV. Discussion

3.1 Analysis of the Aspects of the South Tangerang City Planning Activity Program

Development respondent data for each aspect according to the program of activities and dividing it into external and internal indicators, then the researcher conducts an analysis to get a commensurate comparison where the results of internal respondent data are 1 and external is 10 so that the initial comparison is 1:10, then calculations are carried out so that internal and external data are comparable, the researcher makes comparisons between the two factors, by multiplying the number of respondents from internal factors by 10 (1 x 10) and multiplying the number of respondents from external factors by 1 (1 x 100), and finally the researchers analyze the final weight for the percentage of respondent data for each aspect according to the program of activities and internal and external indicators. So based on the results of the analysis of these calculations, the following results were obtained:



Figure 1. Comparison of Aspects of Implementation of the SPALD Management & Development Planning Activity Program



Figure 2. Comparison of Implementation Indicators of SPALD Management & Development Planning Activities

3.2 IFE Matrix and EFE Matrix

Analysis of the IFE matrix obtained from questionnaires filled out by respondents from the city government, who have the capacity and thorough knowledge of the activity program, the respondents consist of 10 (ten) people as the resource person element, besides that, data collection related to the ranking score for the resource person element is also carried out.

Meanwhile, the EFE matrix analysis was obtained from a questionnaire filled out by respondents from urban communities, who live/domiciled in the study area, which indirectly became the goal of implementing the activity program, the respondents consisted of 100 (one hundred) people.

No.	Description	of Internal Key Factors	Weight	Rating	Weight X	
Streng	Strengths Rating Development					
1.	Planning for Domestic Wastewater Management	a. Availability of Cost / Capital / Investment	0.066	4	0.264	
	System (<i>Grey Water</i>) & Stool (<i>Black Water</i>) South Tangerang City	b. Related policies have been set in an urban planning document	0.067	5	0.335	
2.	Development Planning for	c. Organizational Orientation	0.064	3	0.192	
	Sludge Treatment Plant (IPLT) owned by the South Tangerang City Government	d. Facilities and Infrastructure for Sludge Treatment Plant (IPLT)	0.066	4	0.264	
3.	Scheduled Sludge Service Management Planning	e. Organizational Structure	0.066	4	0.264	
	(LLTT) by the Tangsel City Government	f. Supporting facilities and infrastructure for program activities	0.057	5	0.285	
4.	PlanningforDraftingRegionalRegulationsonDomesticWastewaterManagementandService	g. Legal policies and regulations are intended for all types of buildings, both ba ru and existing	0.057	5	0.285 Relevant	
	Charges by the South Tangerang City Government	h. legal regulations have been stipulated in a city planning document	0.06	3	0.180	
Total			0.50		.07	
Weak	Weaknesses1.Development					
Grey	Planning for Domestic Wastewater Management (Water) & Sludge	a. Human Resources	0.058	4	0.232	
	(<i>BlackWater</i>) South Tangerang City	b. Facilities and infrastructure supporting program activities	0.063	2	0.126	

 Table 1. IFE Matrix Identification Analysis

No.	Description	of Internal Key Factors	Weight	Rating	Weight		
					X		
2.	Development Planning for	c. Availability of Cost/	0.064	1	0.064		
	Sludge Treatment Plant (IPLT)	Capital/ Investment					
	owned by the South	d. Related policies have been	0.063	2	0.126		
	Tangerang City Government	set in an urban planning					
		document					
3.	Scheduled Sludge Service	e. Cooperation program with	0.063	3	0.189		
	Management Planning (LLT)	parties outside South					
	by the South Tangerang Ci	Tangerang City					
	Government	f. Related policies have been	0.063	2	0.126		
		stipulated in a city planning					
		document					
4.	Development Planning	g. Employee Welfare	0.061	2	0.122		
	Regional Regulation on						
	Domestic Wastewater	h. Service cash flow	0.060	1	0.060		
	Services by South Tangerang						
	City Government						
					1.04		
Total			0.50		1.04		
ТОТА	L		1		3.11		
SWO	SWOT Quadrant: Axis (X) S – W = 1.02						

No.	Description	of	f External Key Factors	Weight	Rating	Weight X
Oppo	rtunities <i>Rank</i> Development					
1.	Planning for Domestic	a.	Respondents Behavioral	0.034	5	0.17
	Wastewater Management (Grey		Attitudes			
	Water) & Stool (Black Water)	b.	Encouragement of			
	South Tangerang City		Respondents	0.032	3	0.096
			Participation			
		c.	Knowledge of	0.034	5	0.17
			Respondents			
		d.	Potential	0.032	3	0.096
			Implementation of			
			Programs			
2.	Planning for Development of a	e.	Attitudes and	0.032	3	0.096
	Sludge Treatment Plant (IPLT)		Behaviors of			
	owned by the South Tangerang		Respondents			
	City Government	f.	Respondents Awareness	0.033	4	0.132
		g.	Potential	0.032	3	0.096
			Implementation of			
			Programs			
		h.	Environmental Impact	0.033	4	0.132
3.	Planning for the Management of	i.	Program Sustainability	0.031	3	0.093
	Scheduled Sludge Services	j.	Respondents Awareness	0.030	3	0.090
	(LLTT) by the South Tangerang	k.	Environmental	0.030	3	0.090
	City Government.		Conditions			
		1.	Respondents Behavioral	0.028	5	0.140
			Attitudes			
4.	Planning for Drafting Regional	m.	Program Sustainability	0.031	4	0.124

No.	Description	of	External Key Factors	Weight	Rating	Weight X
	Regulations on Domestic	n.	Respondent Awareness	0.032	3	0.096
	Wastewater Management and	0.	Environmental Impact	0.031	5	0.155
	Service Charges by Pem	p.	Environmental	0.029	4	0.116
	Government of South		Conditions			
	Tangerang City					
Total				0.50		1.89
Threa	ts Management 1.					
Grey	Planning for Development of	a.	Respondent Awareness	0.031	2	0.062
	Domestic Wastewater (Water)	b.	Environmental Impact	0.031	2	0.062
	& MudStool (Black Water)	c.	Environmental	0.031	2	0.062
	South Tangerang City		Condition			
		d.	Program Sustainability	0.031	2	0.062
2.	Planning for Development of	e.	Encouragement of			
	Sludge Treatment Plant (IPLT)		Respondent	0.032	1	0.032
	owned by South Tangerang City		Participation			
	Government	f.	Respondents Knowledge	0.032	1	0.032
		g.	Program Sustainability	0.032	1	0.032
		h.	Environmental	0.031	2	0.062
			Conditions			
Threa	ts <i>LLTT</i>)					
3.	by the South Tangerang Ci Government	i.	Potential Program Implementation	0.031	2	0.062
		j.	Respondent Knowledge	0.031	2	0.062
		k.	Environmental Impact	0.031	2	0.062
		1.	Encouragement of	0.031	2	0.062
			Respondents'			
			Participation			
4	Planning for Drafting Regional	m.	Potential Implementation	0.031	2	0.062
	Regulations on Domestic		of Programs			
	Wastewater Management and	n.	Respondent Knowledge	0.031	2	0.062
	Service Charges by the South	0.	Respondents			
	Tangerang City Government		Participation	0.031	2	0.062
		L	Encouragement			
		p.	Respondents Behavioral Attitudes	0.031	2	0.062
Tota]	I		0.50		0, 90
Tota	-			1		2.79
Ouadr		9		-	1	

3.3 Formulation of Strategic Alternatives Based on the SWOT Quadrant



The results of the analysis based on IFE and EFE made a SWOT quadrant which is the main strategy can be seen in the picture above. The position of the model application is in quadrant I (Aggressive Supporting Strategy), the application of the participation model is in prime and steady condition. Conditions that are very likely to continue to expand, enlarge growth and achieve maximum progress.



Figure 4. Total IFE Weighted Average

Table 3. SWOT Matrix Program Activities Planning for Domestic Wastewater Managem	nent
System (Grey Water) & Sludge (Black Water) South Tangerang City	

	2	Strength/ Strength (S)	Weaknesses / Weakness (W)
		1. Availability of costs /	1. Human resources
		capital / investment	2. Facilities and infrastructure to support
		2. Related policies have been	activity programs
		defined in a city planning	
		document	
(0	pportunities O)	SO, Strategy programs:	WO Strategy:
1.	Attitudes of	1. Availability of costs / capital /	1. HR in the company / institution /
	respondents'	investment in activities will	organization in charge must be
	attitudes	continue to increase in line	improved in order to be able to maintain
2.	Encourage	with the support of attitudes	and utilize the attitude of behavior,
	participation of	behavior, encouragement of	encouragement of participation,
	respondents	participation, knowledge of	knowledge of respondents and potential
3.	Knowledge	respondents and potential	implementation of the program in the
	of respondents	implementation of the	community. (W1, O1, O2, O3, O4).

	Strength/ Strength (S)	Weaknesses / Weakness (W)
	 Availability of costs / capital / investment Related policies have been defined in a city planning document 	 Human resources Facilities and infrastructure to support activity programs
4. Potential for implementing	 program in the community. (S1, O1, O2, O3, O4). 2. The related policies that have been set in a city planning document are expected to be able to improve attitudes, encourage participation, knowledge of respondents and the potential for implementing the program in the community. (S2, O1, O2, O3, O4). 	2. Infrastructure supporting the activity program must be provided so as to be able to maintain high support from behavioral attitudes, encouragement of participation, knowledge of respondents and potential implementation of the program in the community. (W2, O1, O2, O3, O4).
 Threats Respondent (T) Awareness Environmental impact Environmental Conditions Program sustainability 	 ST Strategy: Seeing the availability of costs/capital/investment in activities is expected to increase respondents' awareness, environmental conditions and program sustainability and reduce the environmental impact of pollution in the community. (S1, T1, T2, T3, T4). Related policies that have been set in a city planning document are expected to be able to increase respondents' awareness, environmental conditions and program sustainability and reduce the environmental conditions and program sustainability and reduce the environmental impact of pollution in the community. (S2, T1, T2, T3, T4). 	 WT Strategy: 1. Improving the human resources of companies/institutions/organizations in charge so that they are able to maintain and increase respondents' awareness, environmental impacts, environmental conditions and program sustainability in the community. (W1, T1, T2, T3, T4). 2. It is hoped that the infrastructure for supporting the program of activities that will be provided will improve the level of awareness of respondents, reduce the environmental impact of pollution, environmental conditions and the sustainability of the program in the community. (W1, T1, T2, T3, T4).

	Strengths SWOT (S)	Weaknesses <i>Plant</i> (W)
	1 Organizational orientation	$1 \qquad \Delta vailability \qquad of$
	$\frac{1}{2} \qquad (\text{IDI T})$	1. Availability of
	2. (II L1)	2 Deliguralated issues
		2. Policyrelated issues
		have been determined
		in a city planning
		document
(. Opportunities O)	SO Strategy:	WO Strategy:
1. Attitudes of	1. The orientation of the	1. Availability of
respondents'	municipal government's	costs/capital/investment
behavior	organization related to program	must be developed by
2. Awareness of	activities will continue to increase	utilizing the attitudes of
respondents	in line with the support of the	behavior, awareness of
3. Potential for	attitudes of behavior and	respondents, potential
implementing	awareness of respondents, thereby	for implementing
programs	reducing environmental impacts	programs and reducing
A Environmental	due to pollution and the potential	the environmental
impacts	for the implementation of the	impact of pollution in
impacts	program will run optimally in the	the community (W1
	program will full optimizing in the community $(S_1, O_1, O_2, O_2, O_4)$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{une community.} (\text{w} 1, \\ 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 2, 0, 4) \end{array}$
	community. $(51, 01, 02, 03, 04)$.	01, 02, 03, 04).
	2. The facilities and	2. Related policies
	infrastructure of the municipal Sludge	must be supported in a city
	Treatment Plant (IPLT) that will be	planning document so that
	built are expected to improve	they will be able to
	attitudes, respondents' awareness,	maintain attitudes,
	potential for program implementation	respondents' awareness,
	and reduce environmental impacts due	potential for program
	to pollution and the potential for	implementation and protect
	program implementation will run	the environment from the
	optimally in the community. (S2, O1,	negative impacts of
	$O_2 O_3 O_4)$	pollution (W2 $O1$ $O2$
	02, 03, 01).	$O_{3} O_{4}$
Threats (T)	ST Strategy:	WT Strategy:
1 Encouragement	1 Seeing the orientation of the	1 Increasing the
of recondent's	company/institution/organization	availability of
narticination	in charge will be able to increase	availability 01
Participation Descendent	the encourse content of	costs/capital/investment
Respondent	the encouragement of	is expected to be able to
2. knowledge	participation, respondent	maintain and increase
3. Program	knowledge, program	the encouragement of
sustainability	sustainability and environmental	participation,
4. Environmental	conditions.	respondent knowledge,
conditions	(S1, T1, T2, T3, T4).	program sustainability
		and anvironmental
		and environmental
		conditions. (W1, T1,
	2. Facilities and infrastructure	conditions. (W1, T1, T2, T3, T4).

Table 4. Matrix Program Activities for Sludge Treatment Plant (IPLT) owned by South

 Tangerang City Government

Strengths SWOT (S)	Weaknesses <i>Plant</i> (W)
1. Organizational orientation	1. Availability of
2. (IPLT)	costs/capital/investment
	2. Policyrelated issues
	have been determined
	in a city planning
	document
are expected to be able to increase	
the encouragement of participation,	2. It is hoped that the
knowledge of respondents, program	related policies have been se
sustainability and environmental	in a city planning documen
conditions. (S2, T1, T2, T3, T4).	so that they can bette
	change the level o
	encouragement o
	participation, responden
	knowledge, program
	sustainability and
	environmental conditions
	(W1, T1, T2, T3, T4).

Table 5. SWOT Matrix of Scheduled Sludge Service Management Planning Activities (LLTT) by the South Tangerang City Government

	W SWOT Matrix of Scheduled	with (S) Organizational		
	Sludge Service Management s	structure Facilities and		
	Planning Activities (LLTT) by South i	infrastructure supporting		
	Tangerang City Government d	activity programs		
	Strengths)	Weaknesses parties		
	1. Cooperation	1. outside South		
	2. programs	Tangerang City		
	2	2. Policiesrelated		
		issues have been		
		determined in a city		
		planning document		
(. Opportunities O)	SO Strategy:	WO Strategy:		
1. Sustainability	1. The city government's	1. Cooperation		
of the program	organizational structure related to	programs with parties		
2. Respondents	program activities will continue to	outside the City of		
Awareness	develop in line with the	South Tangerang must		
3. Environmental	sustainability of the program, as	be developed by		
conditions	well as increasing awareness and	utilizing the awareness		
4. behavioral	attitudes of respondents' behavior,	and behavior of		
attitudes	to improve environmental	respondents, to maintain		
	conditions in society. (S1, O1, O2,	environmental		
	O3, O4).	conditions and the		
	2. It is hoped that the facilities and	sustainability of the		
	infrastructure to support the	program in the		
	program of activities will be built	community. (W1, O1,		
	soon in order to increase the	O2, O3, O4).		
	awareness and attitude of the 2	2. Related policies mus		
	respondent's behavior, to maintain	be supported in a city		

	W SWOT Matrix of Scheduled Sludge Service Management Planning Activities (LLTT) by South Tangerang City Government Strengths) 1. Cooperation 2. programs environmental conditions and the sustainability of the program in the community. (S2, O1, O2, O3, O4).	with (S) Organizational structure Facilities and infrastructure supporting activity programsWeaknesses parties1. outside South Tangerang City2. Policiesrelated issues have been determined in a city planning documentplanning documentplanning document so that they will be able to maintain awareness and attitudes of respondents to maintain environmental conditions and program sustainability in the community. (W2, O1 O2, O3, O4).
 Threats (T) Potential implementation of the program Respondent knowledge Environmental impact Encouragement of respondent participation 	 Strategy ST: 1. Looking at the structure of the company/institution/organization in charge will be able to increase the potential for program implementation, knowledge and encouragement of respondent participation Encourage participation, respondent knowledge, in order to guard against environmental impact of pollution. (S1, T1, T2, T3, T4). 2. Facilities and infrastructure supporting the program activities are expected to be able to increase the potential for program implementation, knowledge and encouragement of respondents' participation, encouragement of participation, knowledge of respondents, in order to guard against environmental impacts from pollution. (S2, T1, T2, T3, T4). 	 WT Strategy: 1. Cooperation programs with parties outside the City of South Tangerang are expected to be able to implemen the potential of the program, knowledge and encourage participation of respondents encourage participation knowledge of respondents, in order to protect from environmental impacts from pollution. (W1, T1 T2, T3, T4). It is hoped that the related policies have been set in a city planning document so that they can better change the level of potential program implementation, knowledge and encouragement of

W SWOT Matrix of Scheduled	with (S) Organizational
Sludge Service Management	structure Facilities and
Planning Activities (LLTT) by South	infrastructure supporting
Tangerang City Government	activity programs
Strengths)	Weaknesses parties
1. Cooperation	1. outside South
2. programs	Tangerang City
	2. Policiesrelated
	issues have been
	determined in a city
	planning document
	participation, knowledge
	of respondents, in orde
	to protect from
	environmental impact
	from pollution. (W1, T1
	T2, T3, T4).

Table 6. SWOT Matrix Program Activities Regional Regulations on Domestic Wastewater

 Management and Service Charges by the South Tangerang City Government

	Strength Legal (S)	Weaknesses <i>Employee</i> (W)
	1. are for all types of buildings,	1. welfare
	both new and existing	2. Cash flow for services
	2. regulationsurban planning	
(Opportunities	SO Strategy:	WO Strategy:
0)	1. Policies and legal regulations for al	l 1. Employee welfare must be
1. Sustainability	types of buildings, both new and	l considered because it plays a
of the program	existing, must be implemented in	n very important role in
2. Respondents	order to increase respondents	maintaining the sustainability
awareness	awareness, so as to be able to	of the program and to ensure
3. Environmental	maintain the sustainability of the	e increased awareness o
impact	program, the impact and	l respondents, so that they are
Environmental	environmental conditions o	f able to maintain the
4. conditions	pollution in the community. (S1	, sustainability of the program
	01, 02, 03, 04).	the impact and
	2. Relevant legal regulations have	e environmental conditions o
	been stipulated in a city planning	g pollution in the community
	document that will be implemented	. (W1, O1, O2, O3, O4).
	It is hoped that it will increase	e 2. The quality of cash flow fo
	respondents' awareness, so that they	y city services needs to be
	are able to maintain the	e maintained so as to maintain
	sustainability of the program, its	s the sustainability of the
	impacts and environmenta	l program and to ensure
	conditions from pollution in the	e increased awareness o
	community. (S2, O1, O2, O3, O4).	respondents, so as to be able
		to maintain the sustainability
		of the program, its impact
		and environmenta
		conditions from pollution in

		the community. (W2, O1 O2, O3, O4).
Threats	ST Strategy:	WT Strategy:
Behavioral (T)	1. Policies and legal regulations for all	1. Improving employee
1. Potential	types of buildings, both new and	welfare is expected to be
application of	existing, must be applied by	able to maintain and increase
the program	increasing knowledge,	knowledge, encourage
2. Respondent	encouragement of participation and	participation and attitudes of
knowledge	attitudes of respondents' behavior	respondents' behavior, se
3. Encourage	so that sustainability is maintained	that it will maintain the
participation	potential implementation of the	sustainability of the potentia
of respondents	program in the community. (S1, T1,	implementation of the
4. attitudes of	T2, T3, T4).	program in the community
respondents	2. Relevant legal regulations that have	(W1, T1, T2, T3, T4).
	been stipulated in a city planning	2. The quality of cash flow for
	document are expected to be able to	city services needs to be
	increase the knowledge,	maintained so as to maintair
	encouragement of participation and	sustainability of the potentia
	attitudes of respondents so that the	implementation of the
	sustainability of the potential	program and to ensure
	implementation of the program in	increased awareness of
	the community is maintained. (S2,	respondents, so as to be able
	11, 12, 13, 14).	to maintain the sustainability
		of the program, knowledge
		encouragement of
		respondents in the
		1 community (W1 T1 T2
		T3, T4).

3.4 Formulation of Strategic Alternatives Based on the IE Matrix

Matrix The IE matrix is used to determine the parameters used include the parameters of the company's/institution's/organization's internal strength and the external influence of the community elements faced. From the picture above, it can be seen that the position of the main Planning Activity Program for South Tangerang City is in quadrant IV in the IE matrix. Based on the IE matrix theory which states that the right strategy for quadrant positions I, II, and IV is the *grow and build*. In the conditions mentioned above, the incentive strategy that can be implemented is a strategy (*Integrative Program*) to integrate both elements of the government to elements of society (backward integration, forward *integration and horizontal integration*), market penetration strategies (*market penetration*), and market and product development strategies that are mostly used in this cell type (Nazwirman and Erna Wulandari, 2016).

NO.	ALTERNATIVE	STRATEGIES							
1.	Integrative Program	Performing good integration with elements of the government to elements of society (backward integration, forward integration and <i>horizontal</i>), in carrying out the entire series of planning							

 Table 6. Alternative Strategy

NO.	ALTERNATIVE	STRATEGIES
		activity programs listed in the city planning document.
	Market	penetration by expanding or expanding the range of service
2.	Penetration	program activities that have been planned and contained in the
	Market	city planning document.
		Development of activity programs that have been listed in the
3.	Product	city planning document by improving the quality, quantity and
	Development	continuity of technological, regulatory, institutional and financial
		aspects.

3.5 Decision Making Stage Based on QSPM Matrix

	Activity		Weigh	1		Alternative 2		Alternative 3		
No.	Program	Key Factors	ts	AS	TAS	AS	TA S	AS	TAS	
1	Planning for	Strengths (Strengths)								
. for De ent Do Wa er Ma ent	Developm ent of Domestic	a. Availability of Cost/ Capital/ Investment	0.066	4	0.277	4	0.25 1	4	0.271 Relevant	
	Wastewat er Managem ent System	b. policies have been established in an urban planning document	0.067	4	0.295	4	0.26 1	4	0.255	
	(Gray	Weaknesses (Weakness	ses)							
	Water) & Sludge (Black Water) South Tangerang City	a. Human Resources	0.058	3	0.186	Faciliti es	and	4 0.21 5 4	0.209	
		b. infrastructure supporting program activities	0.063	4	0.208	3	0.22 1	4	0.227	
		Opportunities Attitudes and								
		a. Behavior of Respondents	0.034	4	0.126	4	0.12 6	4	0.122	
		b. Encourageme nt of Respondents Participation	0.032	3	0.109	4	0.11 2	4	0.115	
		c. Respondent Knowledge	0.034	3	0.116	3	0.11 2	3	0.116	
		d. Programs	0.032	4	0.118	4	0.11 8	3	0.109	
		Threats0.031Responde	ents							
		a. Awareness	of	4	0.115	4	0.11 2	4	0.109	
		b. Environmenta 1 Impact	0.031	3	0.102	3	0.10 5	4	0.121	
		c. Environmenta 1 Conditions	0.031	3	0.105	4	0.10 9	4	0.115	

Table 7. Quantitative Strategic Planning Matrix (QSPM)

	Activity	Key Factors	Weigh ts	1		Alternative 2		Alternative 3	
No.	Program			AS	TAS	AS	TA S	AS	TAS
		d. Sustainable gsungan Program	0.031	4	0.118	4	0.10 9	4	0.118
	Total				1.89		1.84		1.88
2	Developm	Strengths (Strengths)				•		1	
	ent Planning for Sludge Treatment	a. Organizational Orientation	0.064	4	0.275	4	0.22 4	4	0.243
	Plant (IPLT) owned by the South	b. Facilities and Facilities for Sludge Treatment Plant (IPLT)	0.066	4	0.271	3	0.22 4	3	0.224
	Tangerang	Weaknesses (Weakness	ses)						
	City Governme nt	a. Availability of Cost/Capital/Inve stment	0.064	4	0.230	4	0.23 0	4	0.230
		b. The related policies have been defined in a city planning document	0.063	4	0.239	4	0.24 6	4	0.239
		Opportunities (<i>Opport</i>	unities)						
		a. Attitudes and Behavior of Respondents	4	0.11 5	3	0.099	3	0.09 9	Responde nts
		b. 0.033	4	0.11 6	3	0.102	3	0.10 2	Potential
		c. Implementati on of Programs	0.032	4	0.122	3	0.10 9	3	0.106
		d. Environmenta	0.033	4	0.116	3	0.10 6	3	0.109
		Threats0.0320.032	[T	Γ	0.10		ſ
		a. Awareness	0.096	3	Impac ts	3	0.10	3	0.106
		b. Respondent Knowledge	0.032	3	0.099	3	0.10 6	3	0.099
		c. Program Sustainability	0.032	3	0.109	3	0.10 6	3	0.102
		d. Environmenta l Conditions	0.031	3	0.099	3	0.10 5	3	0.105
	Total				1.89		1.76		1.77
3	Scheduled	Strengths (Strengths)			T	I			ſ
.	Sludge Service	a. Organizationa 1 Structure	0.066	4	0.257	4	0.23	4	0.244
	Managem ent Planning	b. Facilities and infrastructure supporting	0.057	3	0.194	4	0.20 5	4	0.205

	Activity	Key Factors	Weigh ts	1		Alternative 2		Alternative 3	
No.	Program			AS	TAS	AS	TA S	AS	TAS
	(LLTT)	program activities					~		
	by the	Weaknesses (Weakness	ses)	r	-		1	r	
	South Tangerang City Governme	a. Cooperation programs with parties outside South Tangerang City	0.063	4	0.265	4	0.23 9	4	0.227
		b. Related policies have been set in a city planning document	0.063	4	0.246	4	0.23 9	4	0.233
		Opportunities (Opport	unuies)	1			0.10	1	
		a. for Program Sustainability	0.031	4	0.118	4	0.10 9	4	0.121
		b. Respondents Awareness	0.030	3	0.102	4	0.10 5	3	0.096
		c. Environmenta l Conditions	0.030	4	0.114	4	0.11 4	4	0.105
		d. Respondents Behavioral Attitudes	0.028	3	0.095	4	0.10 1	4	0.098
		Threats (<i>Threats</i>)				1			I
		a. Potential Program Implementation	0.031	4	0.124	4	0.12 1	4	0.115
		b. Respondent Knowledge	0.031	3	0.105	4	0.11 2	4	0.109
		c. Environmenta l Impact	0.031	4	0.115	4	0.11 8	4	0.115
		d. Encourageme nt of Respondent Participation	0.031	3	0.105	4	0.11 2	3	0.102
	Total				1.84		1.81		1.77
4	Pere Plans	Strengths <i>Legal</i> are							
	for Preparatio n of	a. intended for all types of buildings, both new and existing	0.057	4	0.200	4	0.20 5	4	0.217
	Regional Regulatio ns on Domestic Wastewat	b. relevant legal regulations have been stipulated in a document urban planning	0.060	4	0.216	3	0.19 2	4	0.222
	er	Weaknesses (Weakness	ses)						
	Managem ent and	a. Employee Welfare	0.061	4	0.238	4	0.21 4	4	0.226
	Service Charges	b. Service cash flow	0.060	4	0.258	4	0.22 8	4	0.246
	by the	Opportunities (<i>Opport</i>	unities)		•				1

	Activity Program		Weigh	1		Alternative 2		Alternative 3	
No.		Key Factors	ts	AS	TAS	AS	TA S	AS	TAS
	South Tangerang	a. Program Sustainability	0.031	4	0.109	3	0.10 5	4	0.109
	City Governme nt	b. Respondent Awareness	0.032	3	0.106	3	0.10 6	3	0.106
		c. Environmenta l Impact	0.031	4	0.112	4	0.11 5	4	0.115
		d. Environmenta 1 Conditions	0.029	3	0.096	3	0.09 6	4	0.104
	Threats (<i>Threats</i>)								
		a. Potential Program Implementation	0.031	4	0.118	4	0.11 2	4	0.115
		b. Respondent Knowledge	0.031	3	0.090	3	0.10 2	3	0.099
		c. Encourageme nt of Respondent Participation	0.031	3	0.099	3	0.10 5	4	0.109
		d. Respondent Behavioral Attitudes	0.031	3	0.105	3	0.09 9	3	0.102
	Amount				1.75		1.68		1.77
	Total				7.36		7.08		7.19

Information:

AS = Alternative Score

TAS = Total Alternative Score

IV. Conclusion

Some of the main planning activity programs, based on literature studies and interviews with city government stakeholders in improving the management and development of domestic wastewater systems in South Tangerang City include Planning for the Development of Domestic Wastewater & Stool Management Systems, Planning for Development of Sludge Treatment Plants owned by the South Tangerang City Government. Planning for the Management of Scheduled Sludge Services by the South Tangerang City Government and Planning for the Preparation of Regional Regulations on Domestic Wastewater Management and Service Charges by the South Tangerang City Government. The evaluation of the respondents also stated that the highest level of participation/participation was in the internal indicators of 52.45%, while the external indicators were 47.55%.

References

- Amala, Z. (2022). "Strategi Peningkatan Akses Air Bersih dan Sanitasi Berkelanjutan pada Kawasan Kumuh Berdasarkan Tingkat Risiko dan Tipologi Permukiman menggunakan Metode SWOT (Studi Kasus: Kota Pontianak, Provinsi Kalimantan Barat)." Tesis Program Magister, Institut Teknologi Bandung.
- Ambo Sakka, Asri Sakka. (2021). "Analysis of Knowledge and Attitude Fisherman in Environmental Management Participation in Coastal Area of Palopo City." Jurnal Biogenerasi 6, no. 1: 65–74. https://doi.org/10.30605/biogenerasi.v6i1.609

- Angelia, N. (2020). Analysis of Community Institution Empowerment as a Village Government Partner in the Participative Development Process. Budapest International Research and Critics Institute-Journal (BIRCI-Journal) Vol 3 (2): 1352-1359.
- Anggi, Wulandini. (2018). "Analisis Faktor yang Berpengaruh dalam Pengelolaan Sampah di TPS 3R Kota Bandung (Studi Kasus: TPS 3R Babakan Sari dan TPS3R Cicadas)." Bandung: Institut Teknologi Bandung.
- Athaya, Dhiya Zafira. (2018). "Analisa Strategi Keberlanjutan TPS 3R dalam Upaya Minimasi Pengangkutan Sampah ke TPA (Studi kasus Program TPS 3R Kabupaten Bandung)." Bandung: Institut Teknologi Bandung.
- Bakalár, Tomáš, Henrieta Pavolová, and Alexander Tokarčík. (2021) "Analysis and Model of River Basin Sustainable Management by SWOT and AHP Methods." Water 13, no. 17: 2427. https://doi.org/10.3390/w13172427.
- Benavides, Lucía, Tamara Avellán, Serena Caucci, Angela Hahn, Sabrina Kirschke, and Andrea Müller. (2019): "Assessing Sustainability of Wastewater Management Systems in a Multi-Scalar, Transdisciplinary Manner in Latin America." Water 11, no. 2: 249. https://doi.org/10.3390/w11020249.
- Chaerunnissa, Chika. (2014): "Partisipasi Masyarakat Dalam Program Penyediaan Air minum Dan sanitasi Berbasis Masyarakat (PAMSIMAS) di Kabupaten Brebes." POLITIKA Vol.5, No.2.
- Dinas Kesehatan Kota Tangerang Selatan. (2021): "Laporan Studi EHRA." Laporan Studi Environmental Health Risk Assessment (EHRA).
- Ezeudu, Obiora B., Tochukwu S. Ezeudu, Uzochukwu C. Ugochukwu, Jonah C. Agunwamba, and Tochukwu C. Oraelosi. (2021): "Enablers and Barriers to Implementation of Circular Economy in Solid Waste Valorization: The Case of Urban Markets in Anambra, Southeast Nigeria." Environmental and Sustainability Indicators 12: 100150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indic.2021.100150
- Fahreza, A. (2017): "Analisis Strategi Keberlanjutan Pemanfaatan Infrastruktur Air Minum Berbasis Masyarakat Menggunakan Metode Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) dan SWOT (Studi Kasus: Program PAMSIMAS, Kabupaten Batu Bara)." Tesis Program Magister, Institut Teknologi Bandung.
- Fuada, Noviati, Cati Martiyana, Ika Puspita Asturiningtyas, and Slamet Riyanto. (2018): "Evaluasi Pemberdayaan Masyarakat Dalam Penanggulangan GAKI Dengan Metode SWOT Di Kabupaten Wonosobo." Media Gizi Mikro Indonesia 9, no. 1: 37–50. https://doi.org/10.22435/mgmi.v9i1.629.
- Hermawanto, Hernando, and Jl Siwalankerto. (2017): "Analisis Strategi Bisnis Pada CV. Sukses Bersama Sejahtera" 5, no. 3: 5.
- Janna, Nilda Miftahul, and H. Herianto. (2021): "Konsep Uji Validitas Dan Reliabilitas Dengan Menggunakan SPSS." Preprint. Open Science Framework. https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/v9j52.
- Mkude, Isabela T., Sara Gabrielsson, and Richard Kimwaga. (2021): "Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices (KAP) on Fecal Sludge Resource Recovery and Reuse in Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania." Journal of Water, Sanitation and Hygiene for Development 11, no. 5: 758–70. https://doi.org/10.2166/washdev.2021.249.
- Peraturan Daerah Kota Tangerang Selatan, Sekretaris Daerah. (2021): "Rencana Pembangunan Jangka Menengah Daerah (RPJMD)." Peraturan Daerah Kota Tangerang Selatan, Nomor 9.
- Peraturan Walikota Tangerang Selatan Dinas Bangunan dan Penataan Ruang. (2019): "Rencana Induk Sistem Pengelolaan Air Limbah Domestik." Peraturan Walikota Tangerang Selatan, Nomor 39.

- Putra, Rizki Rokhmat, O. (2016): "Analisis Faktor Penentu Pengembangan Sistem Pengelolaan Air Limbah Terpusat Kota Tangerang (Studi Kasus: kecamatan Tangerang)." Tesis Program Magister, Institut Teknologi Bandung.
- Raden Ayu Zainur. (2017): "Analisis Partisipasi Masyarakat terhadap Keberlanjutan Pemanfaatan Fasilitas Pengolahan Sampah dengan Konsep 3R di Kota Bogor." Bandung: Institut Teknologi Bandung.
- Rima Senditya Gewe. (2019): "Analisis Faktor Yang Berpengaruh Terhadap Pengelolaan TPS 3R di Kota Padang." Bandung: Institut Teknologi Bandung.
- Sumiarsih, Ni Made, Djoko Legono, and Robert J. Kodoatie. (2018): "Strategic Sustainable Management for Water Transmission System: A SWOT-QSPM Analysis." Journal of the Civil Engineering Forum 4, no. 1: 29. https://doi.org/10.22146/jcef.30234.
- Tetty Andriani Kusuma. (2019): "Identifikasi Faktor-Faktor Penentu Keberlanjutan Pemanfaatan Fasilitas Sanimas (Studi Kasus Sanitasi berbasis Masyarakat di Fasilitas Sanimas (Studi Kasus Sanitasi berbasis Masyarakat di Kabupaten Bangka Tengah)." Bandung: Institut Teknologi Bandung.
- Wesley, Joan Marshall, and Ester L. Ainsworth. (2018): "Creating Communities of Choice: Stakeholder Participation in Community Planning." Societies 8, no. 3: 73. https://doi.org/10.3390/soc8030073.
- Wulandari, Erna, dan Nazwirman. (2016): "Analisis SWOT Untuk Strategi Pemasaran PT. Indorama Synthetics Tbk.," n.d., 25.